

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/829,164	AMDNO ISIP	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Baoquoc N. To	2162	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allow

(1) Baoquoc N. To.

(3) _____.

(2) Luke K. Pedersen, Reg. No.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 27 and 37


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacts applicant's representative, Luke K. Pedersen to discuss claims amendment. The amendment includes "updating the associated change to the destination file" into claims 1, 13, 20, 27-28, 31, 34 and 37, "executed by a processor" into the preamble claims 27 and 37 to over come the 101 rejection, and "of the identified changes" and "one or more of the plurality objects" in claim 8 to be consistence in the claim language. Mr. Pedersen agrees to those changes and authorizes them to be made by Examiner Amendment. .